

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,470	07/29/2003	Kazuhiro Matsuyama	59651 (49321)	9184	
21874	7590 06/27/2005		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. BOX 55874			NGUYEN, ANTHONY H		
BOSTON, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2854		

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	X			
		10/630,470	MATSUYAMA ET A	(U			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
	•	Anthony H. Nguyen	2854				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a			'ess			
Period f			·				
THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Fail	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of the state of this communication. It is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repeply within the statutory minimum of thirty dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this com NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	June 2005.					
2a)□		nis action is non-final.					
3)□	<u>-</u>						
,							
Disposit	tion of Claims						
_	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	1					
تصار ۲	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· —							
-							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	nor	•				
•))☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 1)☑ The drawing(s) filed on ½½½ is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
باره.	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	* '	` '	? 1 121/d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	` '			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
-	_	ana andreide e e de control o o o	440() ()) (0)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority docume		119(a)-(d) or (t).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	plication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been r	eceived in this National S	tage			
	application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
				·			
Attachmei		,, [-]					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/630,470

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 13, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Sato (US 2002/0044810) in view of Shiraishi (US 6,445,891).

With respect to claims 1, 4-7 and 9, Sato teaches an image forming apparatus 1 having a sheet eject mechanism 200, 202 which includes a receiving tray 41 (Sato, Figs. 1, 2 and 8). Sato does not teach the sheet eject mechanism which moves between an initial position and a sorting position and the control device which regulating a delay time required for the sheet mechanism moving from the initial position to the sorting position or other position. Shiraishi teaches a control device (CPU) 31 which regulates a delay time for the sheet eject mechanism

which moves from a position to other position via the initial sensor 26, the timing sensors 25, 38 and an offset motor 37. In view of the teaching of Shiraishi, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the image forming apparatus of Sato by providing the sheet ejection mechanism and the control device as taught Shiraishi to improve the efficiency of feeding a print medium to a stacker. With respect to claims 2 and 6, Shiraishi teaches the use of the control device 31 which compares the transport interval with a preset or predetermined time to set a delay time (Shiraishi, Fig. 4 and col.3, the second and third paragraphs). With respect to claims 3,4,7 and 8, the use of a control device to determine the size of a sheet and calculate the transport interval with a reference delay time is conventional as exemplified by Shiraishi. Note also that Shiraishi teaches a control device that detects an error in the timing of offset stacker (Shiraishi, the abstract).

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed on May 12, 2005 and June 13, 2005 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The patents to Kato et al., Mimura et al. and muraoka are cited to show other structures having obvious similarities to the claimed structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2169. The examiner can normally be reached daily from 9 AM to 5PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld, can be reached on (571) 272-2168.

Art Unit: 2854

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Anthony Nguyen Anthony Nguyen

6/24/05

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800